



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,102	06/20/2001	Kiyoshi Matsumoto	042202	2407
38834 7590 03/06/2008 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				
			EXAMINER VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/06/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply; if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/884,102

Applicant(s)

MATSUMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

MICHAEL VAN HANDEL

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/08/2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an Amendment filed 11/08/2007. Claims **1, 3-10** are pending. Claim **1** is amended. Claim **2** is canceled.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments regarding claim **1**, filed 11/08/2007, have been considered, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

1. Claim **7** is objected to because of the following informalities:

Referring to claim **7**, the examiner notes that the claim is dependent on canceled claim **2**. The examiner recommends that the claim be amended to depend from claim **1**, and interprets the claim in the Office Action below as though the recommended changes have been made.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al. in view of Campbell et al.

Referring to claim 1, Alexander et al. discloses a digital broadcasting receiver, comprising:

- electronic program guide display means for displaying program information on a screen utilizing an on-screen display circuit on the basis of service information included in digital broadcasting (col. 3, l. 4-5, 52-62; col. 5, l. 28-37; col. 8, l. 32-35; & Fig. 1);
- received video display means for reducing received video and displaying the video, together with said program information (col. 3, l. 56-62 & Fig. 1);
- information acquisition means for acquiring information related to a selected channel (col. 3, l. 59-62 & col. 8, l. 18-35); and
- message display means for creating a message corresponding to the acquired information and displaying the message in an area where the received video is reduced and displayed, wherein the message corresponding to the acquired

information is displayed also in a case where service is provided (col. 3, l. 55-62 & col. 31, l. 12-22).

Alexander et al. further discloses the use of a plurality of tuners for receiving a plurality of television signals simultaneously (col. 14, l. 34-41 & col. 31, l. 12-22). Alexander et al. does not specifically disclose acquiring contract information and displaying a message corresponding to the acquired contract information in a case where service is provided, the selected channel has not been contracted for and the video does not come. Campbell et al. discloses that, when a user enters a new channel number, a text generator switches to a text display mode which provides a black screen output. Vertical interval data extractor 114 separates out the data transmitted on the vertical interval of the selected television signal. Converter control logic 104 then makes several comparisons between the subscriber addressing data which describes the converter authorization and the channel control word which describes the required authorization for the television program currently being transmitted on the selected channel. If the answer is no to each of the decision steps, then the user station is not enabled for reception of the television program being broadcast on the selected channel and the text generator 118 generates an appropriate message for display on the television screen of the user indicating that the user station is not authorized for reception of the program currently being broadcast on the selected channel (col. 15, l. 3-50 & Fig. 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the multiple tuner system of Alexander et al. to determine whether a user is enabled to receive a particular channel and to display an appropriate message if they are not enabled, such as that taught by Campbell et al. in order to limit subscribers' access to different television channels (Campbell et al. col. 1, l. 43-46).

Referring to claim **5**, the combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. teaches the digital broadcasting receiver according to claim 1, wherein said information acquisition means acquires information indicating whether or not the selected channel corresponds to a viewing age limit (Alexander et al. col. 17, l. 13-36).

Referring to claims **6**, **7**, and **10**, the combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. teaches the digital broadcasting receiver according to claims 1 and 5, wherein said message display means displays a message in a semitransparent state in an area where said received video is reduced and displayed (Alexander et al. col. 3, l. 58-62).

4. Claims **3**, **8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al. in view of Campbell et al. and further in view of Dunn et al.

Referring to claim **3**, the combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. teaches the digital broadcasting receiver according to claim 1. The combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. does not teach that the information acquisition means acquires preview information related to the selected channel. Dunn et al. discloses a preview browse UI of a VOD application 74 (Fig. 3). The preview browse UI facilitates the display of preview video trailers on the TV, which correspond to these programs. The UI further enables the viewer to “surf” through the various trailers at his/her own pace, and rent a program for immediate viewing (information acquisition means acquires preview information related to the selected channel)(col. 5, l. 1-15). It would have been obvious to modify the combination of Alexander et al. and

Art Unit: 2623

Cmapbell et al. to include a preview browse UI, such as that taught by Dunn et al. in order to allow the viewer to preview channels before purchasing them.

Referring to claim 8, the combination of Alexander et al., Campbell et al., and Dunn et al. teaches the digital broadcasting receiver according to claim 1, wherein said message display means displays a message in a semitransparent state in an area where said video is reduced and displayed (Alexander et al. col. 3, l. 4-5, 57-62 & Fig. 1).

5. Claims 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al. in view of Campbell et al. and further in view of Kohno et al.

Referring to claim 4, the combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. teaches the digital broadcasting receiver according to claim 1. The combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. does not teach that the information acquisition means acquires information indicating whether or not the selected channel is a radio program. Kohno et al. discloses an (on-air program guide) PP with a channel selecting section 83 that displays buttons for determining a channel selected at a program title displaying section 82 (col. 8, l. 20-22 & Fig. 6). Television channel determining button 83A has buttons capable of selecting a radio channel (col. 8, l. 25). When the radio channel button 83R is selected by the cursor K, the programs having no image but having audio signals are displayed at the program title displaying section 82 in the order of the channels (col. 9, l. 66-67; col. 10, l. 1-2; & Fig. 9). It would have been obvious to modify the combination of Alexander et al. and Campbell et al. to include a radio channel button such as

Art Unit: 2623

that taught by Kohno et al. in order to present the viewer with information indicating whether a given channel is a television or radio program.

Referring to claim 9, the combination of Alexander et al., Campbell et al., and Kohno et al. teaches the digital broadcasting receiver according to claim 1, wherein said message display means displays a message in a semitransparent state in an area where said received video is reduced and displayed (col. 3, l. 4-5, 57-62 & Fig. 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL VAN HANDEL whose telephone number is (571)272-5968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,102

Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

MVH


CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600